

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/612,020 | ABRAHAM-FUCHS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Manuel L. Barbee | 2857 | |

All Participants:

(1) Manuel L. Barbee.

(2) Christine Briscoe.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Briscoe confirmed that no response had been sent to the Office Action mailed 4 February 2005

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MARC S. HOFF
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)